

# **Examiners' Report**

Principal Examiner Feedback

October 2017

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in History (WHI02) Paper 1C

# **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

June 2017
Publications Code
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

#### WHI02 1C Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin

#### Introduction

It was pleasing to see some well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1C which covers the option Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

It is pleasing to note that in Section A most candidates understood what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. Some candidates are still writing about limitations in question A which is not rewarded and often undermines the argument in the answer.

In Section B, most responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was targeted. In some cases candidates struggled to develop sufficient relevant material to address the question and some included material that did not relate to the question.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

#### **Question 1a**

Most candidates demonstrated comprehension of the source on recruitment to women in the workplace in the 1930s and many were able to draw out inferences from the source. Candidates were able to add to the source material by reference to their contextual knowledge and this enabled most candidates to achieve at least level 2. The better focused responses achieved level 3 by selecting material from the source to support inferences and using their contextual knowledge to develop the explanation. Most candidates made relevant points regarding the value to be attached to the source either by reference to the inferences that could be drawn or considering the nature, origins and purpose of the source. There are still too many candidates who devote a substantial part of the answer to looking at limitations which do not address the question and cannot be rewarded.

This source is valuable to the historian for an enguiry into the recruitment of women into the workplace in the Soviet Union in the 1930s. This is because the source informs moscow city whereas the city was the centre of workforce. So it is an excellent place to use as explaining the reconstruent of women noto workplace. To begin with, this source is valuable because in the lines 6-8 it states crystal-making factory mant-time classes for children were opened so that mothers could leave their children during their time at work. This highlights that opening classes for helped women to get anto work can look after their children so that the recruitment of women into workplace

would be easier. As evidence, creches also opened in 1930s which helpe to get into jobs. Beause this decre Toply that another point to support value of this lead to great results when the District Soviet starts to provide better leadership. there to be a greater distribution of women's war This indicates that 5 was a need to bet. help women get into more jobs.

Second their car all garticipation o importance of women in especially in textiles, that there was more actions recruitment of women into the this source is valuable be cause 14-17, it states "A listy of pestes gnotessions and robs accessible to women made. Mer then need to be removed

from these jobs and transferred to jobs that are physically more difficult, while we women are sent to take their places. This implies that women would get into would be arranged at their potential, alreed recruitment of women wall be more. As evidence in 1930s, textiles were dorningted by women and factor factories which produce raw materials were dorninated by man this made it easier for the recruitment of women into the workforce as the recruitment of women into the workforce as the recruitment of women into the workforce as the recruitment of work in places that they would show their full potential.

Overall, source 1 is valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the conscience in the USSR in 1930s. As the source explains the recruitment of come, it is valuable Also, Moscow Caty was the test place to give evidences about the recruitment of people a into the wortplace as it was the center of production and it was dorninated by its workforce.

This is a level 3 response which develops several inferences, e.g. on pp.1-2 it draws out an inference about how the provision of crèches would make recruitment of women easier. The candidate has used knowledge to develop and explain inferences. For example, on p.4 the candidate uses knowledge of female labour in textile factories to explain the recruitment of women and men to different roles in the workplace. The candidate has made a valid point about the value of a source drawn from Moscow because of its importance in the development of industry.

#### **Question 1b**

Many candidates achieved level 3 in answering this question but there were no level 4 responses. Most candidates were able to show that they comprehended the source and some drew and supported inferences. A number of candidates lost focus on the question and whilst they considered the weight of the source in general terms, they did not consider it in the terms of an enquiry into the reasons for the coup of August 1991. A minority of candidates did not have a clear knowledge of the event and some were confused about Yeltsin's position with regards to the Communist Party and the Union Treaty. Weaker evaluation tended to say that the source was both value and limited without considering 'how much weight' could be attached to it and therefore judgements lacked substantiation.

Source 2 is a speech from Bris Yeltsin to the Aussian GHEERS IN 1991. The source will be valvable for an enquiry to on extent, because as the crosnost policy allowed people to have Freedom of expression , yellsin will be able to express freely, however we must toke into account that Yeltsin wented to forbide the mmmunist povernment and appeal the people : Nationalism, which means that It will actually be biosed. In this essay I am going to good analyse the extent to which This Source is reliable to a historian anguity Yeltsin is trying to show the mosses how little serious were the communists on their polices, he does that by Showing how did they shanged of opinion for meir own penetit Restance of the cont abouted mos doing to meso of because of the New onion Treaty. That is the main motivation of the members of the coup' . By stating to as welmow, In 1991 , eight senor communists tried to sieze a coup in order to soco the communist party from Convacher's liberal Ideas like a market company. Yellsin then appeared as the oreat decensor of comother and evaled the coup and demanded convaded to Stay in power . This quare is based, as It see just tells the people how sepieb were the communists.

militains were corrupt. In a sense that people had that comminists

militains were corrupt. In a sense of this quote would be volvable,

as it was true that the so-couled bondiners' comminists

were truly keen an encorring conservative communist lesses again,

as they were fearful that the communist party was bonned and

their benefits as party members ended so although this

information is biased in forour of Yeltsin and against comminists,

he was actually telling the true.

Yeltsin wont to show the people that the communists were SHIL OUT democratic " The decrees they have passed have abolished all parties except the communist parties. This evote is absolutely to not useful for a historian , as it is ample tely mon ipulated to show people that the communists did not wanted Gorvadnev 'S a multi parte election . & Indeed, Granchevis aim was to more Avasia a democrate country in which they could choose their leader. It is true that they just wanted communist parties in the electrons ibut cochally conti Communist porties live the and assorbations like the IADG (headed by Yessin) were Still Working Horeover, Yeltsin teres into his education the Foot that acreached had to take full powers for 18 months because of the chaotic Situation in Austra , which we as we see was then used by Yellsin for his advantage . Consequently, this soint and not have been used for a historian , as H is too b.wsed

Yellsin regan wants to emphasize the comprisess of the communist party on his favour. He does that by quoting firstly that "Fight to preserve HS privileges hes at the ment of ment of the communist porty activities, and secondly "A coup and that to it's lead are are no 155 than shote siminals " Both stotements are definercly used in favour of Jetsin, as he wants to undermine the communist party and tothe advantage of the coup of 1991. The will therefore be walvebre Hever theress . This will be valuable as 1 soid ( to on extent) because, as I soid, The more left wing communists and the ones that in trated the cop were been on preserving their benefits as somet leaders, as they got rich using communist resources and Sciling assets, however, it must be tomen into account that ogam , yellsin is manipulating the incormation and an thing the poct that crowdenew had begin a compaign anti corruption and he wanted to take those benefits from communist leaders Indeed . Yells in is soying that off communists are oriminals i however the coup leaders were State criminals and he is also the putting all communists os criminals / Which is just a lie because Corvober and the Radical communists were not in forour of the attractives and corruption committed the post years 'Therefore, House in that sense, this is not valuable to a historian

Shough many facts like the date of the coup "a coup took

po ce on 19 August 100+ and before \$ igning the new

union Tree tyling which are true foots and valuable to

historians the major! we must terre into

account the reasons for the Speech, which was

undermining the communist party inorder to bon it, which

means that hawas tarring into his advantage the

success of the coup and beming all communists as a

consequence: Therefore, the Speech of Yelts in the

majority of it would not be valuable for a historian

This response achieves level 3 for all three bullet points although it is more limited in addressing bullet point one; the inferences focus more on the nature of the source and less on the content. Relevant support from contextual knowledge is provided. Its focus is limited in places with some drift from the 'reasons for the coup'.

### **Question 2**

There were several answers to this question. In some cases the focus on illiteracy was variable, with some candidates concentrating on education policy with some limited reference to illiteracy, but most candidates were able to draw out some key points and assess them in terms of success and failure.

Between the years 1917-41, there were various policies about illiteracy in the Soviet Union. The policy to reduce the illiteracy in the Soviet Union in the years 1917-41 was successful to some extent for this claim, there are some evidences that supports it. These are, cultural war to wards illiteracy with the belp of Konsonol wherein Lunadarsky's help to lenin, "cultural war to wards illiteracy with the help of Konsonol volunteers, free primary education and improvements in higher education.

Commission of Entightment and he helped Levin to improve the teracy in the USSR they arranged classes for adults to learn interacy. After the revolution levin realised the fact that the communist revolution would be fully achieved if the Soviet people were interate. So they arranged dasses for adults to learn interacy. This charter policy educating adults policy really worked as at there was

a significant increase in the literate people in the USSR, which was a significant success.

Secondly, Stahn continued poplicies
towards the illiteracy to the teach
group, there was volunteers to the teach
people literacy total test of comsonal
solunteers were 60 per cent of Comsonal
Stahn declared that these volunteers were
"cultural soldiers" towards illiteracy to
As evidence for the success of "cultural
war" the manount of literate people
reached up to all per cent of the population for
This was an example of the a successful
policy to the USSR

thirdly, Staling realised the importance of school realised the importance of school realisms to a start growing literate young people at an early age. He made the primary school education fees free Therefore, more and more a children could go to school and be literate. It did not matter the class of the so acty the dildren were into to go to

school. Because previously only children who came from middle to doss family or kich families could only get education. So Station's polacy ensured and be literate. that all children from all classes from the society can learn toponics the at As a result, literacy rates boomed in the USSR This provides us evidence that Stalin's policy of free charge of schools worked by raising up a generation that were already & literate at an early age. Moreover, kigher education had also improved in the USSR. Stalin had improved increased the number of universities which automatically increase the number of students. This policy was successful to two ways. One way as is that station made sure that no theterate people rengared into the USSR and & improve their education The to other way was that students afferding could be come teachers + Improvement in higher whether education means more doorense increase in the number of people in the teaching profession

so they could teach more and more people literary. This policy of Stalin had succeeded to reduce the illiterary in the Soviet Union.

On the other hand, the policy to reduce the filliteracy in the Soviet Union in the years \$1917-41 was not successful in Some fields. \$100 There are some evidences supporting this claim. These policies are; lack of resources and teachers in rural areas, and primary education under levin.

Initially, education and literary was not emphasised in the rural areas, whereas passant could not get benefits of the education policies. In rural areas the there was lack of resources such as hosting, school meals and equipment needed to tourn study as books and pencils. It fills there was no extraction attampted to reduce thetancy illiteracy rates from a the Also there was lack of teachers an rural areas. Because teachers have better working apportunities in

the cities. So no one wanted to go that peone to break down school buildings to teach. De a cost in a with not a enough teachers in the rural and, the greas, there would be no improvement in differency rates or as the policies of Lenn and Stalin was ally in the cities, failed to capture rural areas. This gives us evidences that in some fields Stalin's and Lerin's policy to reduce illiteracy and dit aled to a failure Furthermore, fees were required under the policies of Levin. This was especially the case in primary school education Only children of middle classes and rich classes can attend set primary school Other dothers families could not afford to send their children to schools. So, most of the children woon could not get an primary education which means they would be illiterate. This shows us that in some fields Lenin's policies and not worked to reduce siliteracy, and his policies deboots was not successful

things considered, war aggins

This is a level 4 response. It is focused on illiteracy and uses educational policy as the means to explore the achievements of the Soviet state. It is focussed on assessing successes and failures and reaches a supported judgement. The knowledge is secure and provides precise examples to support the argument.

# **Question 3**

There were several responses to this question. Most candidates had a very good knowledge of the economic policies of Khrushchev and Brezhnev. In level three candidates tended to focus mainly on differences and explore the two leaders individually. At level four, however, there were examples of well-crafted comparison drawing out differences and similarities in relation to different aspects of the economy.

hruschev and Brezhnev in the years 450 1933 - 92 implemented very different polices in the monegement of the economy , as househer was more liberal than Brethrey. Some historians differ on the extent of their different measures . Some soy that Brethrev's measures were more Successful! I agree with them to an extent Inorder to reach a final concusion of this essay. I am going to onalyse: The agricultural andilling, the man and industrial and measures, the living conditions of the people under both communist leaders one the shores in the government in self- and other issues like centralisation of the & In the post years , the communist Sovernment system and always been see highly centralised however, knishchev wonted to monea sudden turn in order to evoid Sovemment : Stalinism and more the govern less centrolled withmotery leading to use the cess innecessory byteourroay and scapenic character on the same that was non agriculture, knishther allowed the peop peoponts to grow and crops that they wanted giving them more Greeden and moreover by charging a fee threaver he aboushed the HTS tractor manternance union led to the Roceting of materies and therefore a fall in production. Some

honorians argue that this completely differed from Dreamer 's agricultural measures becase, the instead of letting production so on decline like trascher did the allowed imports from western powers to enter the ountry in order to meen food prices law. This led to a better quantity of good and less dependence of broad and potatoes, which knowley could Horeover, we must also take into account the different ogricultural polices of trusheney and Brezhney traschey began the wirgin and scheme, leading to disoster from 1958 to 1764 and therefore allowed maise ta grow as it had ben a success in the past years and the creation of pertilisers Indede Indeed , only 1 in 6 crops of maize great reading to huge wastage and shortage a food , which ment that thusbehow was not in fact an agricultural expert and consequently had to food the rumilliation of importing grain from the west . This extremely differed from Breshnew (49) Looid before the was the one who ollowed imports from the west , as he wonted to treep prices down . Here What's more, Breenews in controst with Kruscheu succeeded in buying huse quantities of oils and when the oil crisis in the 1980s come and all was more expensive, he than took the apportunity of selling it to other countries inorder to be sible to continue or buying grain. This therefore led to a

| better manage of the economy and seople conformation with the |
|---|
| Censionent.   |
| Although it seems that Breetney was more successful than      |
| musher their management of the economy was different to an    |
| extent 195 neither of them could solve the problem of         |
| productivity wereforce , which means that under both leaders, |
| the - productivity was such and highly differed from          |
| the western powers, as the people was just motivated on       |
| producing food for membernes and linder houseeve aimough the  |
| private plats were just a 41 of the formiend, they prosped    |
| the majority of the food , leading to short ogas in the       |
| countryside. This does not eigher much from Breating is       |
| agriculture were a 40 % of the population and we in the       |
| USIT were a 6% and they still produced much more food.        |
| This meant that both leaders failed in the non-watton of      |
| workers ?   |
|   |
| Respecting on industry and using conditions them providents   |
| A mough it seems that trushines and Breshnes's                |
| measures were quite similar, historians claim that in pact,   |
| their and solicies read to different outcomes housthed        |
| was treen on fooding on ight industry which meant commer      |
| goods (as he wanted to create a truly socialist economy and   |
| chemical fertilisecs on the service Sector he                 |

| qualityped the pensions and expanded South Other south    |
|---|
| benefit line medical wespare Similarly Breshness          |
| measures were about a 'Social contract in which           |
| the government gove people some good sond thems           |
| of living and the population did not apposed the          |
| See to went .   |
|   |
| We must bore in mind that housther is paices did          |
| not succeeded, and although they seemed                   |
| Se similar to Dreanney's, theirs was his rouces led       |
| to government approved (whereas knischen is les unimotely |
| to his resignation. An example is mot a consumer goods    |
| and fertilisers under trusched lagged being for behind    |
| from the actual targets that he put tisterions some       |
| think this was ave to poor communication and inefficiency |
| pecause of the decentrolised system.                      |
| In contrast. Breshnev's paidles actually succeeded,       |
| Traced historians agree that the quality                  |
| of living conditions under Ore those was the best in the  |
| years of communist rule. For example, the number of       |
| House cars per for increased and everyone head            |
| a proper apportment seet and almost everyone rod          |
| washing machines and reprigerators which aippered         |
| from Kruschevis attemps to modernise the accomy, es ,     |
| a strongh me wonted to morre the use & repropertors       |

more underly eveloble emong people in order to avoid doily stopping, long queves for bosic needs were SHILL and a doing ISSUE, reading to Juscontent and Breake Parethney's measures leding to a book a opposition . In that Sense Down Breth Howe on the other hand, regarding on armament, Both knuscher and Brothney's policies were similar. This was also to the begining and continuation of the cod war with the con and western powers, which consequently mode it extremely important to continue prieritising or mament on the production factories. Intection by big amount of the GDP was to invested in the and war Horeover I Both Brethney and houshow were umble to teamle a quality produce that his torians claim that remained all along the communist history and was that the Aussian commond economy was good at amorting be vost quantities of products but failed to marre high tech products successfully, This is part 180 to a stagnation of the economy which remained from kruschen until the end of the use in pal. Movevery Some historians Claim that Parethney was actually more successful on the e armoment and Command economy . .. he record the seciely Years of the one war and he was obje to combine it

with good living conditions: None theress it is undergable That neither musches nor Brestness were one to end up the suggestion of the economy leading UP to esocomic choos: To conclude , logree with his torians that down that Brethney and Truschey implemented very afterent economic management styles s I think that Dreshov was more successful moreover knackey wed a more liberal and decentralised expressed towards The government and focused on the virgin and Schemes and other agricultural measures whereas brethner was more amountive and and used a centralised SASTEW HOLER ON SON ASON Afterent methods of egriculture which led to success thewever dt we must terre into account that any or them was one to tactile economic issues which led to the stagnation of the economy their and both of them se gave importance to the preation of consumer goods: So, to an extent, I do agree that both impenented dictorent economic polices of the amough in some things they had the same aims like consumer goods, they used different methods to meate them possible , leading to the social approval of Brethney and the resignation from the drarge of kruschev!

This is an excellent level 4 response. There is very secure development of the differences. The argument is supported by a good range of precise knowledge. The discussion of similarities is developed in a little less depth but it is developed with sufficient knowledge. The judgement is supported.

# **Question 4**

There were several answers to this question. While some candidates struggled to focus on 'political stability' and drifted into discussion on a stable society, there were some well-focused responses who used their knowledge of the 'stability of cadres', the emerging gerontocracy and development of corruption to develop their arguments effectively.

In the years 1964-82, mass Biezhnew, introduced a senes of policies that brought political stability However, we must see to what extent. Biezhneu's policy 'stability' of codies' been meant that there was political stability in the short-lerm as members of the Party enjoyed job security, however + is no must see wether this stability was montained in the long-term we must also examine wether Brezhnev's other policies such as his cultral policies, brought political stability, and wether this was created in the long-term Firstly, when Brezhnew come to power m 1964, he miroduced a senes of polices which reversed those inhoduced by Uhrushchen. One of them was 'stability of codies'. This policy discoraged movements within the Communist Parky and his members. This meant that the some people would stay in their position for many years. This created Job security For the members and therefore, political stability, as the

members of the Communist Parky thanked Brezhnen Ear their job and granked him their loyally. Sachings were rose and terror within the Communist Party had completely stopped so, it could be orgred, that Brezhnen through his policy OF 'stability of cooles' created political stability However, as years went by mony problems storted to energe with Brezhnew's policies which led to political instability in the long-term. with 'stability of codes' a 'gerontocracy' was created. This occurred because as members remained in their positions throughout many years, they the communist Party become moreasingly old . The result of this 'gerantocracy' was me faciency. Members of the Party were mnefficient as they were old and promotions were very rare which meant that they did not have meanthes to work hard This also lad to compton as members used their power in the Communist party to get all that they wanted maked maked money or wer valuable objects objects

This meant that a be second economy! was created . Brezhneu also took part in it and accepted it. Finally, methiciency and corruption led to moral decline as when Brezhnen claimed that the Sovet economy was advancing, the people sou how the government was becoming necessary methicient and corrupt which lad to political to notability So, it could be agred that while Brezhnen's policy 'stability of caches' did lead to political stability in the short term, as members were schooled, it brought instability in the long-term Houng on Brezhnen also inkoduced a number of cultural policies that were intended to create stability, and more specifically political stability. Brezhnen stopped Uhrushchen's without thous' which had destabilised the government in the 1950s. It could be that Brezhneu's cultral stabilities began with the Sinyausky-Daniel trial in which two authors

that had usen to some under whosheren were maked and then sent to labor comps. His was a show had from then on there was to be withred stability, with booths art and literative focusing on the victories of the second world war and they were quite mostargis. This cultural stability also created political stability, as if the artists were relaxed the opvernment would also be stable Although, stability was achieved in the short-term with Brezhnew's policies, there were shill clashes bemean k orhsts and the opverment to 1985 Artists and actors contined to do shows m secret and not all of them were discovered by the Secret Police Also, the restrictions on ortists and where moont that a dissidence movement energed These things continued to destabilise the government in the period 1964-85 So, through his & cultical policies, Brezhnen never Fully achieved political stability.

In addition, under Breshnew there was centralisation of the power. This meant that the mousty and the agricultie remained highly mefficient as fertilisers and not reach the ight place at the right time, there was a waste of products as there were too many spare ports, and there was more ficient planning of the economy AU of these problems knowy brought political matability. To conclude, Brezhnen's policies brought about political stability to an extent m the years 1964-82 Through his policy of 'stability of cadres' political stability was created in the short-term as members of the communist Perty were sonsked However, this policy led to instability in the long-term as mnefficiency and corruption were created. Maing on Brezhnew's cultical policies neer fully achieved political stability So, we could say that Biezhneu's policies did not bring about total political stability, however it improved From precious years

| This is a level 4 response. It has explicit focus on the question with good supporting knowledge. It develops a relevant argument about the importance of cultural stability in facilitating political stability. |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828   |
| with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom   |
|   |